## APREAT

25 20 20 60

## OMRISTIAN WOMEN OF THE SOUTH

DEVISOR AND CONGRESSION

"Mana Merdecal commended to answer Eather, Talak not will in thread that there shalls are not be a first that a first that the shall are not be a first that a dispatch indicate in proceedings of the first that a first that and the father's house shall be additionance onto the latest that the control who knowed who be not a first a first that the name of the father's house shall be distinged; and who knowed who be not a first as this . And 2 the both time nother Househot which prove the control is a first that the state of the name of the prints." But the I I is a first that the state of the prints and I is prints.

RESPECTED FRIENDS,

It is because I feel a deep and tender interest in your present and oternal welfare that I am willing thus publicly to address you. Some of you have loved me as a relative, and some how left bound to me in Christian sympathy, and Goopel fellowship: and over when compelled by a strong sense of duty, to break those outward bonds of minor which bound us together as members of the same community, and members of the same relations denomination, you were preserved another of the same community, and members of the same relations the control of the same community, and members of the same relationship to the same community. On the same community, and members of the same community of your livestees, and I ask you now, for the sake of former constants and former friendship, to read the following pages in the same of salin investigation and fervent prayer. It is because you have known me, that I writte thus unto you.

But there are other Christen women sentiered over the Southers States, of whom a very large number have never seen me, and never heard my name, and feel no personel interest whetever in the last feel an interest in got, as branches of the same vine from where not It deliy draw the principle of opinitual visitility—Facil Christen at Christe I feel on interest in got, and often has the second prover control and pour behelf, Lord open than their open that they may not would not only our behelf, Lord open than their open for they may not would not only only the last I first address you upon a subject about with a fell others, portupe you would rather not hear any thing; and would not work the for I am jestions over you with gody, salon feel others and then to them, for I am jestions over you with gody; salon feel other on the for I am jestions over you with gody; salon feel other on the for I am jestions over you with gody; salon feel on the forth of the transfer of the middle of conviction and duty. It is true, I am point a tell you unreadour truths, and I might to speak these truths are took, and secondary

Formula - All periodical contains four and a half circle. Period and 180 miles, G.S-A conta; over 180 miles, 11-1-4 cinta.

solomes says, "faithful are the seconds of a friend." I do not pehere the time has yet come when Christian women "will not endure sound doctrine," even on the subject of Slavery, if it is spoken to

them in tenderness and love, therefore I now address you. To all of you seen, known or unknown, relatives or strangers, (for you are all one in Christ,) I would speak. I have felt for you at this many where unwelcome light is pouring in upon the world on the subject of survey; light which even Christians would exclude, if they could, from our country, or at any rate from the southern portion of it, saying as its mys strike the rock bound coasts of New England and scatter their warmth and radiance over her hills and valleys, and from thence travel onward over the Palirades of the Masses, and down the soft flowing waters of the Delaware and gild the waves of the Potomac, "hitherto shalt thou come and no Author;" I know that even professors of His name who has been emphatically called the "Light of the world" would, if they could, haild a vall of adamant around the Southern States whose top might reach unto heaven, in order to shut out the Light which is bounding from mountain to mountain and from the hills to the plains and valleys beneath, through the vast extent of our Northern States. But believe me, when I tell you, their attempts will be as utterly fruitless as were the efforts of the builders of Babel; and why? Because tworse, like natural light, is so extremely subtle in its nature as to overless all human barriers, and laugh at the puny efforts of man to control it. All the excuses and palliations of this system must inevitably be swept away, just as other "refuges of lies" have been, by the irresistible torrent of a rectified public opinion. "The supporters of the slave system," says Jonathan Dymond in his admirable work on the Principles of Morality, "will hereafter be regarded with the same public feeling, as he who was an advocate for the clave trade now is." It will be, and that very soon, clearly perceived and fully acknowledged by all the virtuous and the candid, that in principle it is as sinful to hold a human being in bondage who has been born in Carolina, as one who has been born in Africa. All that sophistry of argument which has been employed to prove, that although it is smill to send to Africa to procure men and women as slaves, who have gover been in slavery, that still, it is not sinful to keep those in the still to keep those in the still to keep those in the still to keep those in t We must come back to the good old doctrine of our forehe's who declared to the world, "this self evident truth that all are created equal, and that they have certain inclienable rights which are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness." It is a greater absurdity to suppose a man can be legally born a winder our true Republican Government, than under the petty solitions of barbarian Africa. If then, we have no right to enslave frican, surely we can have none to enslave an American; if it is avident with that all men, every where and of every coler are posit equal, and have an malienable right to beerty, then it is equally that no men can be born a short, and me man can ever rightfully

be reduced to insolutiony bondage and held in a slave, however the may be the claim of his menter or infection through wills and this linear But after all, it may be said, our fathers wind paying matching in the Bible sanctions Slavery, and that is the lighter authority. Not the Bible is my ultimate appeal in all matters of facts and practice and it is to this test I am anxious to bring the subject at in tween us. Let us then begin with Adam and entiring the chill of privileges which was given to him. "Have domittion ever that of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living that that moveth upon the earth." In the eighth Psalm we have a fuller description of this charter which through Adam was a ven to mankind. "Thou madest him to have dominion over the works of thy hands : thou hast put all things under his feet. All shoes and exen, yea, and the beasts of the field, the fowl of the air, the fish of me see, and whatsoever passeth through the paths of the seat." And after the flood when this charter of human rights was renewed. we find no additional power vested in man. " And the fear of you and the dread of you shall be upon every beant of the warth and every fowl of the air, and mon all that moveth upon the earth, and upon all the fishes of the sea, into your hand are they delivered. In this charter, although the different kinds of cretional beings are so particularly enumerated, and supreme dominion over all of them is granted, yet wan is never vested with this dominion over his fellow man: he was never told that any of the human species were but under his feet; it was only all things, and man, who was created in the image of his Maker, never can properly be termed a thing, though the laws of Slave States do call him "a chattel personal;" All then. I assert never was put under the feet of most, by that first charies of human rights which was given by God, to the Fathers of the Amediluvian and Postdiluvian worlds, therefore this doctrine of equality is based on the Bible.

But it may be argued, that in the very chapter of Genesis from which I have last quoted, will be found the curse pronounced upon Cansan, by which his posterity was consigned to servitude under brothers Shem and Japheth. I know this prophecy was uttered, a was most fearfully and wonderfully fulfilled, through the immedi descendants of Canaan, i. e. the Canaanites, and I do not knowled it has been through all the children of Ham, but I do know prophecy does not tell us what ought to be, but what actually take place, ages after it has been delivered, and that if we j America for enslaving the children of Africa, we must also is Egypt for reducing the children of Israel to bondage, for the hi was foretold as explicitly as the former. I am you aware that if phecy has often been urged as an excuse for the representation of the second of the fulfilment of prophecy will not cook the six in the second of the second day of account. Hear what our Saviour says on this subject : \*\* must needs be that offences come, but were unto that wider three when they come"-Witness some fulfilment of this declaration in tremendous distruction of Jerusaleia, occasioned by that most it

mena of all crimes the crucifizion of the Son of God. Did the fact of chat event having been forested, exculpate the Jews from sin in competentials it. More for hear what the Apostle Peter says to them on this subject, "Him being delivered by the determinate counsel and foreknowledge of God, ye have taken, and by witched hands have cannidad, and alain." Other striking instances might be addressed, but

those will suffice.

But it has been urged that the patriarche held slaves, and therefore, slavery is right. Do you really believe that patriarchal servitude was ika American slavery? Can you believe it? If so, read the history of these primitive fathers of the church and be undeceived. Look at Abraham, though so great a man, going to the herd himself and the head a colf from thence and serving it up with his own hands, for the entertainment of his guests. Look at Sarau, that princess as her name signifies, baking cakes upon the herrth. If the servants they had were like Southern slaves, would they have performed such lamentation of Abraham when he feared he should have no son to bear his name down to posterity. "Behold thou hast given me no seed. &c. one born in my house is mine heir." From this it appears that one of his servants was to inherit his immense estate. Is this like Southern slavery? I leave it to your own good sense and candor to decide. Besides, such was the footing upon which Abraham was with his servants, that he trusted them with arms. Are slaveholders willing to put swords and pistols into the hands of their slaves? He was as a father among his servants; what are planters and masters generally among theirs? When the institution of circumcision was established, Abraham was commanded thus; "He that is eight days old shall be circumcised among you, every man-child in your generations; be that is born in the house, or bought with money of any stranger which is not of thy seed." And to render this command with regard to his servants still more impressive it is repeated in the very next verse; and herein we may perceive the great care. which was taken by God to guard the rights of screents even under this "dark dispensation." What too was the testimony given to the faithfulness of this eminent patriarch. "For I know him that he will command his children and his household after him, and they shall keep the way of the Lord to do justice and judgment." Now my dear friends many of you believe that circumcision has been superneded by haptism in the Church; Are you careful to have all that born in your house or bought with money of any stranger, bapmed 1 Are you as faithful as Abraham to command your household to p the way of the Lord? I leave it to your own consciences to decide. Was patrisochal servitude then like American Slavery?

Aut I shall be told, God sanctioned Slavery, yea commanded Slavery under the Jewish Dispensation. Let us examine this subject salithy and prayerfully. I admit that a species of servitude was permitted to the Jews, but in studying the subject I have been struck with we der and admiration at perceiving how carefully the servant

was guarded from violence, injustice, and wrong. I will first inform you how these servants became servants, for I think this a very juin portant part of our subject. From consulting Horne, Calmini, and the Bible, I find there were six different ways by which the Hobrewis became servants legally.

1. A Hebrew, whose father was still alive, and who on that activable had not inherited kie patriasmial estate, night sell himself, i.e., this services, for six years, in which case he received the purchase fittedly

himself. Ex. xxi, 2.

2. A father might sell his children as servante, i. e., his designation is which circumstance it was understood the daughter was to be the wife or daughter-in-law of the man who bought her, and the father received the price. In other words, Jewish women were sold as white somes were in the first autilement of Virginia—as wires, not as literal.

Ex. xxi, 7—11.

3. Thieves not able to make restitution for their thefts, were sold for the benefit of the injured person. Ex. xxii, 3.

4. They might be born in servitude. Ex. xxi. 4.

5. If reduced to extreme poverty, a Hebrew might sell himself; but in such a case he was to serve, not as a bondsman, whose term of service was only six years, nor was he to serve as a hired servinit; who received his wages every evening, nor yet as a solourner of temporary resident in the family, but he was to serve his master third the year of Jubiles.\* Lev. xxv, 39, 40.

G. If a Hebrew had sold himself to a rich Gentile, he might be redeemed by one of the brethren at any time the money was offered; and he who redeemed him, was not to take advantage of the favor thus conferred, and rule over him with rigor. Lev. xx, 474-36.

Before going into an examination of the laws by which these servicins slaves in any of the ways in which the Hebrews became services, it would just ask whether American slaves have become slaves in any of the ways in which the Hebrews became servinits. Did they seal the investigation to their own hands? No! No! Did they steal the property of another, and were they cold to make restitution for their bringer No! Did their present masters, as an act of kindness, redemit them from some heathen tyrant to whom they had sold themselves in the dark hour of adversity? No! Were they born in slavery? No! No! Not according to Jessich Law, for the servants who were their services among them, were born of parents who had cold themselves in the south sold by their fathers? How shall I mayor this question. Thousands and tens of thousands never were, their fathers investigated the poor compensation of silver or gold, for the trains and the states and the services, the suffering, and anguish, and hopeless bond of their datasets.

If the read we will have out the italiaised words. But mid Aind, in the ware one will find tout I am fully authorized in the meaning I have attached tagk But and And are not in the original Hedgrey; have been introduced by the translation, and entirely distroy the true comes of the passing it.

the same field, if haply their daughters are permitted to rarrain on the same plantation with these, instead of being, as they often are, asperated from their parents and e-ld into distant states, never again to meet on earth. But do the fathers of the Souch sur sell their daughters? My heart beats, and my hand trembles, as I write the awful affirmative, Yes! The fathers of this Christian land often sell their daughters, not as Jewish parents sid, to be the wives and daughters, not as Jewish parents sid, to be the wives and daughters, so the pean who buy them, but to be the abject slaves of petty tyrants and irresponsible masters. Is it not so, my friends? I seave it be your own candor to corroborate my assertion. Southern shaves then have not become slaves in any of the six different ways in, which Hebrews became servants, and I besinte not to say that American masters cannot according to Jessia Law substantiate their claim to the men, women, or children they now hold in bondage.

But there was one way in which a Jew might illegally be reduced to servitude; it was this, he might be stolen and afterwards sold as a slave, as was Joseph. To-guard most effectually against this dreadful crime of manstealing, God enacted this severe law. "He that steeleth a man and selleth him, or if he be found in his hand, he shall surely be put to death." And again, "If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and maketh merchandise of him, or selleth him; then that thief shall die; and thou shalt put away eyil from among you." Deut, xxiv, 7. As I have tried American Slavery by legal Hebrew servitude, and found, (to your surprise. perhaps,) that Jewish law cannot justify the slaveholder's claim, let us now try it by illegal Hebrew bondage. Have the Southern slaves tion been stolen? If they did not sell themselves into bondage: if they were not sold as thieves; if they were not redeemed from a heathen master to whom they had sold themselves; if they were not born in servitude according to Hebrew law; and if the females were not sold by their fathers as wives and daughters-in-law to those who purchased them; then what shall we say of them? what can we say of them? but that according to Hebrew Law they have been stolen.

But I shall be told that the Jews had other servants who were absolute slaves. Let us look a little into this also. They had other

servants who were procured from the heathen.

Bondmen and bondmaids might be bought of the heathen round

about them. Lev. xxv, 44.

I will now try the right of the southern planter by the claims of Helrew masters to their heathen servants. Were the southern slaves beingth from the heathen? No! For surely, no one will some vindicate the slave-trade so far as to assert that slaves were bought from the heathen who were obtained by that system of piracy. The only receives for helding southern slaves is that they were born in slavery, had we have seen that they were continuately surely and that the children of heathen servants were not legally enhanced to bondage, even under the Mosaic Law. How then have the slaves of the South been obtained?

A will next proceed to an examination of those laws which were on-

acted in order to protect the Hebrew and the Heathen servant; for I wish you to understand that both were protected by Him, of whom it is said his mercies are over all his works." I will first speak of those which secured the rights of Hebrew servants. This code was headed thus: 1. Thou shalt not rule over him with rigor, but shalt fear thy God.

S. If thou buy a Hebrew servant, six years shall he serve, and in the seventh year he shall go out free for nothing. Ex. xxi, 2. And when thou sendent him out free from thee, thou shalt not let him go away empty: Thou shalt furnish him liberally out of thy flock and out of thy floor, and out of thy wine-press: of that wherewith the Lord thy God

hath blessed thee, st. alt thou give unto him. Deut, xy, 13, 14.

3. If he come in by himself, he shall go out by himself; if he water married, then his wife shall go out with him. Ex xxi, 3.

. If his master have given him a wife, and she have borne him some and daughters, the wife and her children shall be his master's, and he

shall go out by himself. Ex. xxi, 4.

5. If the servant shall plainly say, I love my master, my wife, and my children; I will not go out free; then his master shall bring him unto the Judges, and he shall bring him to the door, or unto the doorpost, and his master shall bore his ear through with an awl, and he shall serve him for ever. Ex. xxi, 5, 6.

6. If a man smite the eye of his servant, or the eye of his maid, that it perish, he shall let him go free for his eye's sake. And if he smite out his man servant's tooth or his maid servant's tooth, he shall let

him go free for his tooth's sake. Ex. xxi, 28, 27.

7. On the Sabbath, rest was secured to servants by the fourth cum;

mandment. Ex. xx, 10.

 Servants were permitted to unite with their masters three times in every year in celebrating the Passover, the feast of Weeks, and the feast of Tabernacles; every male throughout the land was to appear before the Lord at Jerusalem with a gift; here the bond and the free stood on common ground. Deut. xvi.

9. If a man smite his servant or his maid with a rod, and he dis under his hand, he shall be surely punished. Notwithstanding, if he continue a day or two, he shall not be punished, for he is his money.

Ex. xxi, 20, 21.

From these laws we learn, that one class of Hebrew men servants were bound to serve their masters only six years, unless their attachment to their employers, their wives and children, should induce them to wish to remain in servitude, in which case, in order to prevent the possibility of deception on the part of the master, the servant was first taken before the magistrate, where he openly declared his intention of continuing in his master's service, (probably a public register was kept of such.) he was then conducted to the door of the house, (in warm climates doors are thrown open,) and there his ear was publicly bored, and by submitting to this operation, he testified his willingness to serve him in subserviency to the law of God; for let it be remembered, that the door post was covered with the precepts of that law. Deut. vi. 9. xi, 20: for ever, i. e., during his life, for Jewish Rabbins, who must have understood Jewish slavery (as it is called), "affirm that servants were set free at the death of their masters, and did not descend to their heirs " or that he was to serve him until the year of Jubilee, when all servants were set at liberty. The other class, when they first ac themselves, agreed to remain until the year of Jubiles. To protect servants from violence, it was ordained, that if a master struct the tooth or destroyed the eye of a servant, that servant immediately

ในแบบเลืองที่ความเวลา และทำแหน่ง แบบ ส**อร์ อดี หลักใดหวิจี องน**ี้ตัวแล้ว แล้วสอยกลี โดย และทำหนัง to posses the power of a marker, and therefore that power to bake. From him —All correspondences the rest of the Sabbath, and persons of the mivilence and festivities of the three most devich Pensie: and if a corvent died under the indiction of charlicoment, his master traconychy to be panished. As a tooth for a tooth and life for life was the Jourish law, of course he was unhighed with death. I know that mean strong has been laid upon the following years: " Notwithstanding, if he centium a day or two, he chall not be punished, for he in his ruency."

Shaveholders, and the applogists of blavery, have easerly neized upon this little passage of Scripture, and held is up as the received Magaa Charta, by which they were licensed by God himses to commit the greatest outrages upon the defenceless victime of facir oppression. Rut, my iriends, was it designed to be so? If our Meavenly Pather would protect by law the eye and the tooth of a Medicar servant, can tyo for a moment believe that he would abandon that came corvent to the brutal rage of a master who would destroy even life itself? Let us then oxamine this passage with the help of the context. In the 16th and 19th verses we have a law which was made for fromen who strove together. Here we find that if one man smote another, so that he died not, but only kept his bed from being disabled, and he rese again and walked abroad upon his staff, then he was to be paid for the less of his time, and all the expenses of his sickness were to be borne by the man who smote him. The freeman's time was his own, and herefore he was to be remunerated for the loss of it. But not so with the servant, whose time was, as it were, the money of his master, because he had already paid for it: If he continued a day or two after being struck, to keep his bed in consequence of any wound received, then his lost time was no: to be paid for, because it was not his own, but his master's, who had already paid him for it. The loss of his time way the muster's loss, and not the corvent's. This oxplaintion is confirmed by the fact, that the Kiebrew word translated continue, means "to stand still;" c. a., to be unable to go out about his master's work.

Here then we find this stronghold of clavory completely domoliched. Instead of its being a license to inflict such charterment upon a dervent as to cause even death itself, it is in fact a law merely to provide that a man should not be required to pay his corvant trice ever for his time. It is altogether an unfounded assumption on the part of the abrechedder, that this servent died after a day or two; the text does not cay its, and I contend that he got well after a day or two, just as the man mentioned in the 19th verse recovered from the effects of the blown he received; The cacce are completely parallel, and the first law throws great light of the excens. This explination is for more consonant with the onefactor of God, and were it not that our victor has been co completely darkened by the existence of clavery in our country, we never could so far have disherered. Him so to have supposed that the constituted for marder of a servant; although claveholding logicity and might legaliso the hilling of a clayo in four different ways- (Condo Chotch of Mane Lans.)

Dut I pass on now to the consideration of how the female Sewish

corvants were pretected by Igo.

1. If the please not her master, who bath betrothed her to himself, tion phall he led her he redeemed; to cell her mile enother melicates that have no prively coping he had, dark decellably with her of the have been been been able to the first here, herefull deals with his calca the history of anythere.

ty endleten die ein W To be do not these there . To be to the three result of the out the

The state of the s Processing, of the place let be made, and he was an in-

he wo power, seeing he hath deals locatedly with here devent engagement implied, at least of taking the twice. The have the tracked he winted his sen, howing draft of the late, the tracked we are designiers. I. c., he well take one that his con uses her as his wift, that he does not despise or realizest her. If its niche his son than I any ther wife, he shall give her her don't, her do ness, at least peace in on their wighty; the does now of these three, his and ye are yethout more? This went the right of pleases secret considerations of consideration of the consideration are the rights of female alayer at the Easth that accountd to the cold only as wives and daughton-in-law, and when not steated as mon, are they allowed to go out fresh No! They have our net only been illegally obtained as servent: seconding to Hebrey law, but also no olso illegally held in bondage. Masters at the South and West horse

all forfeited their claims, (if they ever had day,) to their formule claves, We come now to explains the case of those egyvents who were "of the heathen round about;" Were they left entirely approved in larg! Horne, in speaking of the law, "Thou should not rate over the

if is true, speaks expressly of slaves who were of Hebrew descent by as affections slaves were ingrested into the Hebrew Church by circumcision, there': no doubt but that it applied to all slaves ." If so, then we may reasonably suppose that the other protective laws ex-tended to them also; and first the only difference between Hebrary and Mosthen servants by in this, that the former served but six years unions they chose to remain longer, and were always freed as the death of their mattern, whereco, the latter corved suill the year of Judilee, though that might include a period of forty-nine years, and were left from father to con.

There are however, two other laws which I have not yet noticed. The one effectually prevented all involvatory servitude, and the other completely shalished Jeriah servitude overy fifty years. They were

causily operative upon the Esstien and the Hebrew,

1. Then shalt not deliver unto his master the corvent that is esception from his master acto thee. He shall dwell with thee, even arrow you in that place which he shall choose, in one of thy gates where i liketh him best: then shall well oppress him." Dout, xxiii, 16, 18, 9, "And yo chall hellow the fillieth year, and problem Liberty."

throughout all the land, unto all the inhabitants thereof, it shall be 20

jubileo unto you." Lev. uzz. 12.

if he was unbappy with his master, all he had to do was to leave him. and no men had a right to deliver him back to him again, and not only co, but the observed asswert was to choose where he chould live,

and no Jaw was permitted to oppress him. He lost his master just as our Northern servants leave us; we have no power to compet them to remain with us, and no man has any right to oppress them : they go and dwell in that place where it chooseth them, and live just where they like. Is it so at the South? In the poor runaway slave protected by law from the violence of that master whose oppression and ornelly has driven him from his plantation or his house? No! no! Even the free states of the North are compelled to deliver unto his master the servent that is escaped from his master into them. By became law, under the Christian Dispensation, in the nineteenth century we are commanded to do, what God more than three thousand years ago, under the Mesaic Dispensation, positively communded the Jews not to do. In the wide domain even of our free states, there is not one city of refuge for the poor runaway fugitive; not one spot upon which he can stand and say, I am a free man-I am protected in my rights as a man, by the strong arm of the law; no! not one. How long the North will thus shake bands with the South in sin, I know not. How long she will stand by like the persecutor Saul, consenting anto the death of Stephen, and keeping the raiment of them that slew him. I know not; but one thing I do know, the guilt of the North is increasing in a tremendous ratio as light is pouring in upon her on the subject and the sin of slavery. As the sun of righteousness climbs higher and higher in the moral heavens, she will stand still more and more abashed as the query is thundered down into her ear, "Who hath required this at thy hand?" It will be found no excuse then that the Constitution of our country required that persons bound to service escaping from their masters should be delivered up; no more excuse than was the reason which Adam assigned for esting the for-

the motivit. He was condemned and punished because he hearkened to have a fine suffer rather than to the command of his Maker; and make the condemned and punished for obeying Maker rather than God, if we do not appending repent and bring forth fruits meet for appending. Hear are not receiving chasticement even now?

But by the second of these laws a still more astenishing fact in disclosed. If the first effectually prevented all involuntary serviced, the lost absolutely forbade even voluntary servicule being properties. On the great day of atouchent every filtieth year the Jubilee trumpet was councid throughout the land of Judea, and Libertly was proclaimed to all the inbabitants thereof. I will not say that the servants' chains fell off and their connectes were burst, for there is no evidence that Jowish servants are felt the weight of from chains, and collars, and handcuffs; but I do say that over the man who had voluntarily, and huiself and the heather who had been sold to a Hebrew meater, were set free, the one as well us the other. This law was avidently designed to prevent the oppression of the poor, and the possibility of such a thing as perpetual available entaing among them.

Where then I would ask in the warrant the institution or the sail of American Slavery from Ziobrev servitude I. How many and bushors slaves would now be a bondage according to the iaws of Moses; Not one. You tuly observe that I have carefully avoided tising the town slovery when speaking of Jowish scryitude; and simply for reason, that no sheek thing existed moving that people; the worst canalitée servant does not mean store, it is the same that is applied to Abraham, to Moses; to Elistic and the prophets gapirally. Steery then neier existed tinder the Jowish Dispensation at all, and I cannot but regard it as an appensation of the service of t

We have seen that the code of laws framed by Moses with regard to survants was designed to molect them as men and women, to securit to them their rights as himan beings, to guard them from oppression and defend them from violence of every kind. Let us now turn to the Slave laws of the South and West and earning them too, I will give you the substance only, because I fear I shall trestpass too

much on your time, were I to quote them at length.

 Slavery is hereditary and perpetual, to the last moment of the slave's carthly existence, and to all his descendants to the latest posterity.

2. The labor of the slave is compulsory and uncompensated; while the kind of labor, the amount of toil, the time allowed for rest, are dictuded solely by the master. No bargain is made, no wages given. A mine despotism giverus the human brute; and even his covering out provender, both as to quantity and quality, depend one.

tirely on the master's discretion."

3. The slave being considered a personal chuited may be seld or biedged, or leased at the will of his master. He may be exchanged for marketable commodities, or taken in execution for the delte or taxes either of a living or dead master. Sold at auction, either individually, or in lots to suit the purchaser, he may remain with his family, or be separated from them for ever.

5. Slaves can make no contracts and have no legal right to any property, real or personal. Their own bonest earnings and the lega-

cies of friends belong in point of law to their masters.

5. Neither a slave nor a free colored person can be a witness

"These are laws in some of the clause state, limiting the baber which the massive havy required the clause to furnise hours addly. In some of the states there are lives requiring the masters to furnish a certain amount of fixed and deleting, at the containing of the states, one set stated per mostly, and "one lines shirt and partaloous for the summer, and a lines whirt and wooled great coat and partaleous for the variety" See. But "still," to use the language of real states of the white the control of his massive—is unprovided with a protection,—and, especially as he cannot be a vitness or manne where the states of manner should be provided by the control of his massive. The control of his massive of the states of the st

against any mhite, or free person, in a court of justice, however atracious may have been the crimes they have seen him commit, if such testimony would be for the benefit of a slave; but they may give testimony against a fellow slove, or free colored man, even in cases affecting life, if the master is to reap the advantage of it.

6. The slave may be punished at his master's discretion-without trial-without any means of legal redress; whether his offence be real or imaginary; and the master can transfer the same descotic

power to any person or persons, he may choose to appoint.

7. The slave is not allowed to resist any free man under any circumstances, his only safety consists in the fact that his owner may bring suit and recover the price of his body, in case his life is taken, or his limbs rendered unfit for labor.

-8. Slaves cannot redeem themselves, or obtain a change of masters, though cruel treatment may have rendered such a change ne-

cessery for their personal safety.

9. The slave is entirely unprotected in his demostic relations.

10. The laws greatly obstruct the manumission of slaves, even where the master is willing to enfranchise them.

11. The operation of the laws tends to deprive slaves of religious instruction and consolation.

12. The whole power of the laws is excrted to keep slaves in a state of the lowest ignorance. 13. There is in this country a monstrous inequality of law and

right. What is a trifling fault in the white man, is considered highly

criminal in the slave; the same offences which cost white man a few dollars only, are punished in the negro with death. 14. The laws operate most oppositely upon it is supported as Shall I re to my frier to fixing the partiel between Jour-Lot Wer more is no likenere uch scrviterio can sic. in the two protons . nak you reflect to mark the contract. wars of Mosen protected servants in View rights as an are guarded them from oppression and defended from Gen. Oods Noir of the South robs the slave of duces him to a chattel personal, and deic the master in cise of the most unnatural and unwarantable power over \_\_\_ plane They each bear the impress of the hand which formed them. attributes of justice and mercy are shadowed out in the Helps code; those of injustice and cruelty, in the Code Noir of America Truly it was wise in the slaveholders of the South to declare the alayer to be "chattels personal;" for before they could be robbed of to the wiver, children, and friends, it was absolutely necessary to word human beings. It is wise in them, to keep them in and a constant the strong man armed must be bound before we want for the strong man armed to some down with the time chains of nescience before we can seb him of his "A his on a more to must reduce than to a thing here we are clear

the right to set our feet upon his nack, because it was only of things which were originally put under the feet of man by the Almighty and Boneficent Father of all, who has declared himself to be as respecter

of persons, whether red, white, or black,

But some have even said that Jesus Christ did not condemn slavery. To this I reply, that our Hely Redeemer lived and preached among the Jown only. The laws which Moses had enacted aftern headred years provious to his appearance among them, had never been annulled, and these laws protected every servant in Palestine. That he new nothing of perpetual servitude is certain from the simple declaration made by himself in John, viii, 35. "The servent shideth not in the house for ever, the son abideth ever." If then he did not condamn Jewish temporary servitude, this does not prove that he would not have condemned such a monstrous system as that of American slavery, if that had existed among them. But did not Jesus condemn clavery Let us examine some of his precepts. "Whatever ye would that men should do to you, do ye even so to them." Let every slaveholder apply these queries to his own heart; Am I willing to be a slave Am I willing to see my husband the slave of another—Am I willing to see my mother a slave, or my father, my white sister, or my white brother? If not, then in holding others as slaves, I am doing what I would not wish to be done to me or any relative I have; and thus have I broken this golden rule which was given me to walk by.

But some slaveholders have said, "we were never in bondage to any man," and therefore the yoke of bendage would be insufferable to us, but slaves are accustomed to it, their backs are fitted to the burden. Well, I am willing to admit that you who have lived in freedom weuld find slavery year more oppressive than the poor alave dees, but then you may try this question in another form—Am I willing to reduce my little child to slavery! You know that if it is brought us a size, it will never know any contrast between freedom and bondage; its back.

ill become sitted to the burden just as the negro child's does-up. of the Indian child becomes flattened by the Foords in which towns. To been justly remain the God never made a clara, the control in a pright; his back to made to carry burdens as the this of realities, was his mock as the grove, and the man must be consided within him, before his light may be fitted to the burden of pernotical alarmy; and that his back is not littled to it, in manifest by the pentreetions that so often disturb the peace and security of slaveing countries. Who ever heard of a rehellion of the beasts of the and why not? shoply because they were all placed and othe feet , into whose and they were delivered; it was originally dein lay should serve him, therefore their necks have been ye and their backs for the burden; but not so with or ... intelle Lin mortal man! I appeal to you, my friends, as mothern: Arn with borror and tration at such a question. But why, if ciavery is no wrong to thou an whom it is imposed? why, if, as has offen heen said, slaves upper then their masters, freer from the cases and perplexities or ... widing to: themselves and their families? why not place your children in the way of being supported without your having the touble to provide for them, or they for themselves : Inyou not perceive that as soon as this golden rule of action is spoked to governotors, that you involuntarily shrink from the test; as soon as now.

petions or entirely in the wife per of the gratures, that you me found

seasting? Try yournelves by enother of the Divise precepts, "Thou shall ture thy neighbor as tayest." Can we have a man as we have a wish any view to do to us?. Look, too, at Christ's cample, what would not wish any view to do to us?. Look, too, at Christ's cample, what would not wish any view to do to us?. Look, too, at Christ's cample, what would not you for a moment imagine the meek and loud do companionate Saviour, a skewelodder? Do you not shudder to bought as much as at that of his being a course of Rut why, if showing is not simila?

Again, it has been said, the Apostle Paul did not condemn slavery.

for he sent Onesimus back to Philemon. I do not think it can be said he sent him back, for no coercion was made use of. Onesimus was not thrown into prison and then sent back in chains to his master, as your runsway waves often are—this could not nossibly have been the ones, because you know Paul as a Jew, was bound to protect the runaway; he had so right to send any fugitive back to his master. The state of the case then seems to have been this. Onesimus had been as unprofitable servant to Philemon and left him-he afterwards became converted under the Apostle's preaching, and seeing that he had been to blome in his conduct, and desiring by future fidelity to atone for past error, he wished to return, and the Apostle gave him the letter we now have as a recommendation to Philemon, informing him of the conversion of Quesimus, and entreating him as " Paul the aged" " to receive him, not now as a servant, but above a servant, a brother beloved, especially to me, but how much more unto thee, both in the flesh and in the Lord. If thou count me therefore as a partner, receive him as "If." This, then, surely cannot be forced into a justification to of returning runaway slaves back as they often areord danks here translated corvant. is the same that i. in West, xviii, 27. Now it appears that this servant of ten thousand talents; he possesses coperty to a vost omenua. And what is citil more curprising, if he the a stare, is, that " foresmuch as he had not to nav, his lord commanded him to be sold, and his wife and children, and all that he had, and payment to be made." Whoever heard of a slaveholder coiling a slave and his family to pay himself a debt due to him from a date? What would he gain by it when the slave is himself his proparty, and his wife and children also? Onesimus could not, then, have been a slave, for slaves do not own their wives or children; no, not even their own bodies, much less property. But again, the servitude which the apostle was accustored to, must have been very different from American slavery, for he appears the heir (or con), as long as ke is a child, different nothing from account, though he be lord of all. But is under tutors and governors until the time appointed of the father." From this it appears, that the means of instruction were provided for serponts as well bildren; and indeed we know it ravet have been no sorong the dear, because their pervants were that permitted to murain in perpetual bendage, and therefore it was absolutely necescrip they should be proposed to comes bigher stations in cories

than those of nervants. Is it so at the South, my friends? Is the daily bread of instruction provided for goes shows? we their minds enlightened, and they gradually propured to rise from its grade of menials into that of free, independent members of the state? Let your own statute book, and your own daily experience, engine free freedomestims.

If this apostly sanctioned slevery, why did he exhort meeters thus in his epistle to the Ephesians, " and ye, masters, do the same things unto them (i.e. perform your duties to your servants as unto Christ. not unto men) furbearing threatening; knowing that your master also is in heaven, meither is there respect of persons with him." And in Colossians. Sasters give unto your servents that which is just and cough knowing that we also have a master in heaven. 12 Let slaveholders only obey these injunctions of Paul, and I am satisfied sinvery would soon be abolished. If he thought it sinful even to throaten servants, surely he must have thought it sinful to flog and to beat them with sticks and paddles; indeed, when delineating the character of a bishop, he expressly names this as one feature of it, "no siriler." Let masters give upto their servants that which is just and count, and all that vast system of unrequited labor would crumble into ruin. Yes, and if they once felt they had no right to the labor of their nervants without pay, surely they could not think they had a right to their wives, their children, and their own bodies. Again, how can it be said Paul sanctioned slavery, when, as though to put this matter beyond all doubt, in that black catalogue of sins enumerated in his first epistle to Timothy, he mentions " menstealers," which word may be translated " slavedealers." But you may say, we all despise slavedealers as much as any one can; they are never admitted into genteel or respectable society. And why not? Is it not because even you plaink back from the idea of associating with those who make their fortunes by trading in the bodies and souls of men, wemen, and while oven? whose daily work it is to bree human hearts, by tenting wine. from their husbands, and children from their parents? But why had clavedoalers as despicable, if their trade is lawful and virtuous i and why despise them more than the gentlemen of fortune and standing as their agents? Why more than the professore of ...eo employ velicion w ter their fellow-professors to them for gold and silver! We do s. spise the land agent, or the physician, or the merchant, apply because their professions are virtuous and honorable; and .. the trade of men-jobbers was honorable, you would not despise there either. There is no difference in principle, in Christian office, between the despised slavedcaler and the Christian who buys eluces from, or colls alaves to him; indeed, if slaves were not wanted; by the respectable, the wealthy, and the religious in a community. there would be no slaves in that community, and of course no slave. dealers. It is then the Christians and the honorable men and women. of the Bouth, who are the main william of this grand temple built to Managene and to Moloch. It is the most chiefdened in every country of 5 are most to blame when any policies ain is supported by public

opinion, hence Isaiah says, " When the Lord bath performed his whole work upon mount Zion and on Jerusalem, (then) I will punish the fruit of the stout heart of the king of Assyria, and the glory of his high looks." And was it not so? Open the historical records of that age, was not Israel carried into captivity B. C. 721, Judah B. C. 588, and the stout heart of the heathen monarchy not punished until B. C. 586, fifty-two years after Judah's, and 185 years, after Israel's captivity, when it was overthrown by Cyrus, king of Persia? Honce, too, the apostle Peter says. "judgment must begin at the house of God." Surely this would not be the case, if the professors of religion were not most worthy of blame.

But it may be asked, why are they most culpable? I will tell you. my friends. It is because sin is imputed to us just in proportion to the spiritual light we receive. Thus the prophet Amos says, in the name of Jehovah, "You only have I known of all the families of the earth: therefore I will punish you for all your iniquities." Hear too the loctrine of our Lord on this important subject; "The servant who knew his Lord's will and prepared not himself, neither did ac cording to his will, shall be beaten with many stripes:" and why? " For unto whomsoever much is given, of him shall much be required; said to whom men have committed much, of him they will ask the more." Oh! then that the Christians of the south would ponder these things in their hearts, and awake to the vast responsibilities which

rest upon them at this important crisis.

I have thus, I think, clearly proved to you seven propositions, viz.: First, that slavery is contrary to the declaration of our independence. Second, that it is contrary to the first charter of human rights given to Adam, and renewed to Noah. Third, that the fact of slavery having been the enbiect of prophecy, furnishes no excuse whatever to slaveholders. Fourth, that no such system existed under the patriorchal dispensation. Fifth, that slavery never existed under the Jewich dispensation; but so far otherwise, that every servant was placed under the protection of law, and care taken not only to prevent of emplantary servitude, but all coluntary perpetual bondage. that slavery in America reduces a man to a thing, a "chattel porconal," robe him of all his rights as a human being, fetters both his mind and body, and protects the master in the most unnatural and unreasonable power, whilst it throws him out of the protection of law. Seventh, that slavery is contrary to the example and precepts of our holy and merciful Redeemer, and of his apostics.

But perhaps you will be ready to query, why appeal to women out this subject? We do not make the laws which perpetuate slavery. Fro legislative power is vested in us; we can do nothing to overthrow the system, even if we wished to do so. To this I reply, i know you do not make the laws, but I also know that you are the wines and mothers, the visters and daughters of those who do; and if you really suppose you can do nothing to overthrow slavery, you are greatly mistaken. You can do much in every way : four things I will name, lat. You can read on this subject. 2d. You can pany over this culject. 3d. You tan speak on this subject. 4th. You can set on this subject. I have not placed reading before proving because I regard it more important, but because, in order to large stight, we must understand what we are praying for; it is only then we can "pray with

the understanding and the spirit also."

I. Read then on the subject of slavery. Bearch the Scriptures. daily, whether the things I have told you are true. Wher books and papers might be a great help to you in this investigation, but they are not necessary, and it is hardly probable that your Committees of Vigit ance will allow you to have any other. The Bible theh is the book I want you to read in the spirit of inquity, and the spirit of prayer. Even the enemies of Abolitionists, acknowledge that their doctrines are drawn from it. In the great mob in Boston, last autumn, when the books and papers of the Anti-Slavery Society, were thrown out of the windows of their office, one individual laid hold of the Bible and was about toesing it out to the crowd, when another reminded him that it was the Bible he had in his hund. "Of 'tie all one," he replied, and out went the sacred volume, along with the rest. thank him for the acknowledgment. Yes, "it is all one," for our books and papers are mostly commentaries on the Bible, and the Declaration. Read the Bible then, it contains the words of Jesus. and they are spirit and life. Judge for yourselves whether he sanc-

tioned such a system of oppression and crime.

2. Pray over this subject. When you have entered into your closets, and shut to the doors, then pray to your father, who seeth in secret, that he would open your eyes to see whether slavery is sinful, and if it is, that he would enable you to bear a faithful, open and unshrinking testimony against it, and to do whatsoever your hunds find to do, leaving the consequences entirely to him, who still says to us whenever we try to reason away duty from the fear of consequences, "What is that to thee, follow thou me." Pray also for the poor slave. that he may be kept patient and submissive under his hard lot, until God is pleased to open the door of freedom to him without violence or bleedebed. Pray too for the master that his heart may be softened. and be made villing to acknowledge, as Joseph's brethren did, "Verily two are guilty concerning our brother," before he will be compelled to and in consequence of Divine judgment, "therefore is all this evil or no upon us." Pray also for all your brethren and sisters who are laboring in the righteous cause of Emancipation in the Northern States, England and the world. There is great encouragement for prayer in these words of our Lord. "Whatsoever ye shall ask the Father in my name, he will give it to you"-Pray then without ceasing, in the closet and the social circle.

3. Speak on this subject. It is through the tengus, the pen, and the press, that trath is principally propagated. Speak then to your solutions, your adquaitances on the subject of always; a be not afraid if you are conscientiously concinced it is singled, to say no opening but calmin, and to let your semiments to have the propagate of the subject of

much as possible; never aggravate their faults, and thus add fuel to the fire of enger already kindled, in a master and mistress's becom: remember their extreme ignorance, and consider them as your Heavarily Father does the less culpable on this account, even when they do wrong things. Discountenance all cruelty to them, all starvation. all corporal chastleement; these may brutalize and break their spirits, out will hever bend them to willing, theerful obedience. If possible, see that they are comfortably and seasonably fed, whether in the house or the field; it is unreasonable and cruel to expect slaves to wait for their breakfast until eleven o'clock, when they rise at five or six. Do all you can, to induce their owners to clothe them well, and to allow them many little indulgences which would contribute to their comfort. Allove all, try to persuade your husband, father, brothers and some, that slavery is a crime against God and man, and that it is a great sin to keen human beings in such abject ignorance; to deny them the privilege of learning to read and write. The Catholics are universally condemned, for denying the Bible to the common people, but, slaneholders must not hame them, for they are doing the very same thing, and for the very same reason, neither of these systems can bear the light which bursts from the pages of that Holy Book. And lastly, endeavour to inculcate submission on the part of the slaves. but whilst doing this be faithful in pleading the cause of the oppressed.

> "Will you behold unheeding, Life's holiest feelings crushed, Where woman's heart is bleeding, Shall woman's voice be huched?"

4. Act on this subject. Some of you own slaves yourselves. If you believe slavery is sinful, set them at liberty, "undo the heavy burdens and let the oppressed go free." If they wish to remain with you, pay them wages, if not, let them leave you. Should they remain, reach items, and nave them taught is common shardness of an English education; they have minds, and those minds sught to be improved. So precious a talent as intellect, never was given to be wrant in a causin and buried in the earth. It is the daty of all, as far as they can, to improve their own mental faculties, because we are commanded to love God with all our minds, as well as with all our hearts, and we commit a great sin, if we fortid or precent that cultivation of the mind in others, which would enable them to perform this duty. Teach your servants, then, to read, &c., and encourage them to believe it is their daty to learn, if it were only that they might read the Bibte.

But some of you will say, we can neither free our slaves nor teach them to read, for the laws of our state forbid it. Be not surptised when I say such wicked laws ought to be no barrier in the way of your daily, and I appeal to the Bible to prove this position. What was the conduct of Shiprah and Puah, when the king of Egypt issued his cruci mandate, with regard to the Hebrew children? "They feared God, and Gill and as the King of Egypt commanded them, but saved the men-children slive." And be it remembered, that it was through their faith-fallness that Moses was preserved. This great and immediate emancipater was indebted to a women for his great and immediate emancipater was indebted to a feeting that the slives women do right.

in disobeying that monarch? "Therefore (ways the sacred text.) Goe dealt well with them, and made them houses" Ex, i. What was the conduct of Shadrach, Meshach, and Abednego, when Nebuchadnezzar set up a golden image in the plain of Dura, and commanded all people, nations, and languages, to fall down and worship it? "Be it known, unto thee, (said these faithful Jews) O king, that we will not serve thy gods, nor worship the image which thou hast set up." Did these men do right indisobeging thelaw of their sovereign? Let their miraculous deliverance from the burning fiery furnace, answer : Dan. iii. What was the conduct of Daniel, when Darius made a firm decree that no one should ask a petition of any man or God for thirty days? Did the prophet cease to pray? No!"When Daniel knew that the writing was signed, he went into his house, and his windows being open towards Jerusalem, he kneeled upon his knees three times a day, and prayed and gave thanks before his God, as he did aforetime." Did Dani I do right thus to break the law of his king? Let his wonderful deliverance out of the mouths of the lions answer; Dan. vii. Look, too, at the Apostics Peter and John. When the rulers of the Jews, "commanded them not to speak at all, nor teach in the name of Jesus," what did they say? "Whether it be right in the sight of God, to hearken unto you more than unto God, judge ye." And what did they do? "They spake the word of God with boldness, and with great power gave the Apostles witness of the resurrection of the Lord Jesus;" although this was the very doctrine. for the preaching of which, they had just been cast into prison, and further th.eatened. Did these men do right? I leave you to answer, who now enjoy the benefits of their labors and sufferings, in that Gospel they dared to preach when positively commanded not to teach and more in the name of Jesus : Acts iv.

But some of you may say, if we do free our slaves, they will be also up and odd, therefore there will be no use in doing it. Peter and John might just as well have said, we will not preach the gospel, for if we do, we shall be taken up and put in prison, therefore there will be no use in our preaching. Consequences, my friends, belong no more to year, then they did to these aposities. Duty is ours and events are God's. If you think slavery is sinful, all you have to do is to set your slaves at liberty, do all you can to protect them, and in humble faith and ferront prayer, commend them to your common Pather. By can take can of them; but if for wise purposes he sees fit to allow them to be cold, this will afford you an opportunity of testifying openly, wherever you go, against the crime of mantealing. Such an net will be clear robbery, and if exposed, might, under the Divine direction, do the cause of Emancipation more good, than any bring that could happen, for, 'Me reakes even the wrath of man to praise

him, and the romainder of wrath he will restrain."

I know that this doctrine of obeying God, rather than man, will be considered as dangerous, and hereited by many, but I am not afraid openly to avow it, because it is the doctrine of the Bible; but I would not be understood to advocate resistance to any law however op-

pressive, if, in obeying it, I was not obliged to commit sin. If for instance, there was a law, which imposed imprisonment or a fine upon me if I menumited a slave, I would on to account resist that law, I would set the slave free, and then go to pison or suffer the penalty. If a law commands me to sin I will break it: if it calls me to suffer, I will let it take its course varceistingly. The doctrine of bliad obedience and unqualified submission to any human power, whether givin or cardesiatical, is the doctrine of despotism, and ought

to have no place among Republicans and Christians. But you will perhaps say, such a course of conduct would mevitably expose us to great suffering. Yes! my christian friends, I believe it would, but this will not excuse you or any ore else for the neglect of duty. If Prophets and Apostles, Martyrs, and Reformers had not been willing to suffer for the truth's sake, where would the world have been now? If they had said, we cannot speak the truth, we cannot do what we believe is right, because the laws of our country or public opinion are against us, where would our holy religion have been now? The Prophets were stoned, imprisoned, and killed by the Jows. And why? Because they exposed and openly rebuked public sins; they opposed public opinion; had they held their peace, they all might have lived in ease and died in favor with a wicked generation. Why were the Aposties persecuted from city to city, stoned. sacarcerated, beaten, and crucified? Because they dared to speak the with; to tell the Jews, boldly and fearlessly, that they were the murderers of the Lord of Glory, and that, however great a stumblingblock the Cross might be to them, there was no other name given under heaven by which men could be saved, but the name Because they declared, even at Athens, the sent of learn finement, the self-evident truth, that "they be no gods the with men's hands," and exposed to the Grecions the for worldly wisdom, and the impossibility of salvation but through Christ. whom they despised on account of the isponing reath he died. Because at Rome, the proud mistress of the world, they thundered out the terrors of the law upon that idelations, war-making, and slaveholding community. Why were the martyre stretched upon the rack, gibbotted and burnt, the scorn and diversion of a Nero, whilst their tarred and burning bodies cent up a light which illuminated the Roman capital? Why were the Waldenses hunted like wild beast upon the mountains of Picderent, and slain with the sword of the Duke of Savoy and the proud monarch of France? Why were tho Prosbyterians chased like the partridge over the highlands of Scotland—the Methodists pumped, and stoned, and pelted with rotten eggs-the Quakers incarcerated in filthy prisons, beaten, whipped at the cart's tail, banished and hung? Because they dared to speak the truth, to break the unrighteous imos of their country, and chose rather to suffer affliction with the people of God, "not accepting deliverence," even under the gallows. Why were Lather and Celvin persecuted and excommunicated. Craumer, Ridley, and Lutimer burnt? Executes they fearlessly premitted the first, though that is the rais

contrary to public opinion, and the authority of Ecclesissischt entering the continuous Now all this vast amount of human suffering might have been cared. All these Prophots and Apoulos, Martyre, and Reformers, might have lived and died in peace with all treeh, but following the example of their great pattern, "they "despised" the shame, endured the cross, and are now set down on the right hand of the throne of God," having received the glorious welcome of "welcome of welcome of welcome of welcome of welcome of welcome of the glorious welcome of the state of the glorious welcome of the glorious welcome of the glorious welcome of the state of the glorious welcome of the glorious

But you may say we are women, how can our hearts endure persecution? And why not? Have not women arisen in all the dignity and strength of moral courage to be the leaders of the people, and to bear a faithful testimony for the truth whenever the providence of God has called them to do so? Are there no women in that noble army of martyrs who are now singing the song of Moses and the Lamb? Who led out the women of Israel from the house of bondage, striking the timbrel, and singing the song of deliverance on the banks of that sea whose waters stood up like walls of crystal to the a passage for their escape? It was a woman; Miriam, the passage ess, the sister of Moses and Aaron. Who went up with Barak to Kadesh to fight against Jabin, King of Canaan, into whose hand Israel had been sold because of their iniquities? It was a woman? Deborah the wife of Lapidoth, the judge, as well as the prophetesis of that backsliding people; Judges iv, 9. Into whose hands was Sisera, the captain of Jabin's host delivered? Into the hand of a woman. Just the wife of Heber! Judges vi. 21. Who dared to speak the truth concerning those judgments which were coming upon Judea, when Josiah, alarmed at finding that his people "had not kept the word of the Lord to do after all that was written in the book of the Law," sent to enquire of the Lord concerning these things? It was a woman. Muldah the prophetess, the wife of Shallum; 25 Chron, xxxiv, 22. Who was chosen to deliver the whole Jewish nation from that murderous decree of least's King, which wicked Haman had obtained by calumny and Sard? It was a woman; Esther the Queen; yes, weak and trembling woman was the instrument appointed by God, to reverse the bloody mandate of the collection monarch, and save the whole visible church from destruction. human voice first proclaimed to Mary that she should be the :. Luke i, 42, 43. Who united with the good old Simeon is thanks publicly in the temple, when the child, Jesus, was probented there by his parents, "and spake of him to all them that looked for redemption in Jerusalem?" It was a woman! Anna the propheters. Who first proclaimed Christ as the true Messiah in the streets of Samarin, once the capital of the ten tribes? It was a woman! Who ministered to the Son of God whilst on earth, a despised and persecuted Reference, in the humble garb of a carpenter? They were vomen! Who followed the rejected King of Israel, as his fainting footsteps trad the read to Calvary? "A great company of people and of women;" and it is remarkable that to these alone, he wanted and addressed the pathetic language, "Daughters of Jorusalem weep. not for me, but weep for yourselves and your children." Ah who sent unto the Roman Governor when he was set down on the judgment sent, saying unto him, "Have thou nothing to do with that just men, for I have suffered many things this day in a dream because of him?" It was a woman! the wife of Pilate. Although "he knew that for envy the Jows had delivered Christ," yet he consented to surrender the Son of God into the hands of a brutal soldiory, after having himself scourged his naked body. Had the wife of Pilate sat upon that judgment seat, what would have been the result of the trial of this "just porson?"

And who last hung round the cross of Jesus on the mountain of Golgotha? Who first visited the sepulchre early in the morning on the first day of the week, carrying sweet spices to embalm his precious body, not knowing that it was incorruptible and could not be holden by the bands of death? These were women! To whom did he first appear after his resurrection? It was to a woman! Mary Magdalene: Mark xvi, 9. Who gathered with the apostles to wait at Jorusalam, in prayer and supplication, for "the promise of the Father;" the spiritual blessing of the Great High Priest of his Church, who had entered, not into the splendid temple of Solomon, there to offer the blood of bulls, and of gouts, and the smoking censer upon the golden altar, but into Heaven itself, there to present his intercessions, after having "given himself for us, an offering and a sacrifice to God for a sweet smelling savor !" Women were among that holy company; Acts i. 14. And did women wait in vain? Did those who had ministered to his necessities, followed in his train, and wept at his crucifixion, wait in vain? No! No! Did the cloven tongues of fire descend upon the heads of women as well as men? Yos, my friends, "it sat upon cuch one of them;" Acts ii, 3. men as well as men were to be living stones in the temple of grace, and therefore their heads were consecrated by the descent of the Moly Chost as well as those of men. Were women recognized as fellow laborers in the gospel field? They were! Paul says in his epistle to the Philippians, "help those women who labored with me, in the gospel;" Phil. iv. 3.

But this is not all. Roman women were burnt at the stake, their delicate limbs were torn joint from joint by the ferocious beasts of the Amphithentre, and tossed by the wild bull in his fury, for the diversion of that ideletions, warlike, and slaveholding people. Wes, women unfered under folk the persecutions of heather Rome, with the most unshiniting constancy and fortitude; not all the entreaties of friends, nor the claims of new born infancy, nor the cruel threats of enemies ceuld make them sprinkle one grain of incense upon the alters of Roman idels. Come now with me to the beautiful valleys of Piedmont Wisco blood stains the green: with, and decks the wild flowers with colors not their own; and smolus out the award of persecuting France? It is trommite, as well as manifol Veg, comment was accounted as shown for the clargificity, and were out the clargificity, and were out the colors have accounted as shown.

But time would fail me, to tell of all those bundreds and thousands of women, who perished in the Low countries of Holland, when Alva's sword of vengeance was unshenthed against the Protestants, when the Cathelic Inquisitions of Europe became the merciless executionsers of vindictive wrath, upon those who dared to worship God, instead of bowing down in unboly adoration before "my Lord God the Pope," and when England, too, burnt her Am Ascoes at the sitake of mertyrdom. Suffice it to say, that the Church, after having been driven from Judea to Rome, and from Rome to Piedmont, and from Piedmont to England, and from England to Holland, at last stretched her fainting wings over the dark bosom of the Atlantic, and found on the shores of a great wilderness, a refuge from tyranny and oppression—as she thought, but even here, (the warm blush of shame mantles my cheek as I write it,) even here, woman was beaten and banished, imprisoned, and hung upon the gallows, a tophy to the Cross.

And what, I would ask in conclusion, have women done for the great and glorious cause of Emancipation? Who wrote that pamphlet which moved the heart of Wilberforce to pray over the wrongs, and his tongue to plead the cause of the oppressed African? It was a woman. Elizabeth Heyrick. Who labored assiduously to keep the sufferings of the slave continually before the British public? They were women. And how did they do it?' By their needles, paint brushes and pens, by speaking the truth, and petitioning Parliament for the abolition of And what was the effect of their labors? Read it in the Emancipation bill of Great Britain. Read it, in the present state of her West India Colonies. Read it, in the impulse which has been given to the cause of freedom, in the United States of America. Have English women then done so much for the negro, and shall American women do nothing? Oh no! Already are there sixty female Anti-Slavery Societies in operation. These are doing just what the English women did, telling the very of the colored man's wronge, praying for his deliverance, and presenting his kneeling image constantly before the public eye on bags and needle-books, card-racks, pen-wipers, pin-cushions, &cc. Even the children of the north are inscribing on their handy work, "May the points of our needles prick the slaveholder's conscience." Some of the reports of these Societies exhibit not only considerable talent, but a deep sense of religious duty, and a determination to persevere through evil as well as good report, until every scourge, and every shackle, is buried under the feet of the manumitted slave.

The Ladies' Anti-Slavery Society of Boston was called last fall, and gentlemen of proporty and standing," in that city at their anniversary moeting, and their lives were jooparded by an infuriated crowd; but heir conduct on that occasion did credit to our sex, and affords a full assurance that they will never abradon the cause of the slave. The pamphlet, Right and Wrong in Beston, issued by them in which a particular account is given of that "mob of broad cloth in broad day," does equal credit to the head of the heart of her who wants it.

wish my Southern sisters could read it; they would then understand that the women of the North bave engaged in this work from a sense of graftone duly, and that nothing will ever induce them to take their heads from it until it is fully accomplished. They feel no hostility to job, no interness or wrath; they rether sympathize in your trials and difficulties; but they well know that the first thing to be done to help you is to pour in the light of truth on your minds, to urge you ct on, and pray over the subject. This is all they can do for you, you must work out your own deliverance with fear and trembling, and with the direction and bleasing of God, you can do it. Northern women may labor to produce a correct public opinion at the North, but if Southern women sit down in listless indifference and criminal illeness, public opinion cannot be rectified and purified at the South. It is manifest to every reflecting mind, that slavery must be abolished; the era in which we live, and the light which is overspreading the whole world on this subject, clearly show that the time cannot be distant when it will be done. Now there are only two ways in which it can be effected, by moral power or physical force, and it is for you to choose which of these you prefer. Slavery always has, and always. will produce insurrections wherever it exists, because it is a violation of the natural order of things, and no human power can much longer perpetuate it. The opposers of abolitionists fully believe this; one of them remarked to me not long since, there is no doubt there will be a most terrible overturning at the South in a few years, such cruelty and wrong, must be visited with Divine vengeance soon. Abolitionists believe, too, that this must inevitably be the case if you do not repent and they are not willing to leave you to perish without entreating you, to save yourselves from destruction; well may they say with the apostic, "am I then your enemy because I tell you i truth," and warn you to flee from impending judgments.

But why, my dear friends, have I thus been endeavoring to lead you through the history of more than three thousand years, and to point you to that great cloud of witnesses who have gone before, "from works to rewards?" Have I been seeking to magnify the sufferings, and exalt the character of woman, that she "might have praise of No! no! my object has been to arouse you, as the wives and mothers, the daughters and sisters, of the South, to a sense of your duty as women, and as Christian women, on that great subject, which has already shaken our country, from the St. Lawrence and the lakes, to the Gulf of Mexico, and from the Mississippi to the shores of the Atlantic; and will continue mightily to shake it, until the polluted temple of slavery fall and crumble into ruin. I would say unto each one of you, "what meanest thou, O sleeper! arise and call upon thy God, if so be that God will think upon us that we perish not." Perceive you not that dark cloud of vengeance which hangs over our boasting Republic? Saw you not the lightnings of Heaven's wrath, in the flame which leaped from the Indian's torch to the agof of yonder dwelling, and lighted with its horrid glare the darkness of midnight? Heard you not the thunders of Divine anger, as the discant roar of the cannon came rolling onward, from the Texian country, where Protestant American Rebols are fighting with Mexican Republicans—for what? For the re-establishment of elazery; yes of American slavery in the besem of a Catholic Republic, where that system of robbery, violence, and wrong, had been legally abolished for twelve years. Yes! citizens of the United States, after y advering Mexico of her land, are now engaged in deadly conflict, or the privilege of fastening chains, and collars, and manucles—upon whom? upon the subjects of some foreign prince? No! upon native bona American Republican citizens, although the fathers of these very men declared to the whole world, while struggling to free themselves from the three penny taxes of an English king, that they believed it to be a self-vicident truth that all men were created equal, and had an unatical self-vicident truth that all men were created equal, and had an unatical

Well may the poet exclaim in bitter sarcasm,

"The fustian flag that proudly waves In solemn Luckery o'er a land of slaves."

Can you not, my friends, understand the signs of the times; do you not see the sword of retributive justice hanging over the South, or are you still slumbering at your posts !-- Are there no Shiphrahs, no Punhs among you, who will dare in Christian firmness and Christian meekness, to refuse to obey the wicked laws which require woman to enslave, to degrade and to brutalize woman? Are there no Miriams, who would rejoice to lead out the captive daughters of the Southern States to liberty and light? Are there no Huldahs there who will dare to speak the truth concerning the sins of the people and those judgments, which it requires no prophet's eye to see, must follow if repentance is not speedily sought? Is there no Esther among you who will plead for the poor devoted slave? Read the history of this Persian queen, it is full of instruction; she at first refused to please for the Jews; but hear the words of Mordecai, "Think not within thycolf, that they shalt eccupe in the king's house more than all the Jews, for if then altogether holdest the peace at this time, then shall there enlargement and deliverance arise to the Jews from another place: but thou and thy father's house shall be destroyed." Listen, too, to her magnanimous reply to this powerful appeal; "I will go in unto the king, which is not according to law, and if I perish, I perish." Yes! if there were but one Esther at the South, she might save her country from ruin; but let the Christian women there arise, as the Christian women of Great Britain did, in the majesty of moral power, and that salvation is certain. Let them embody themselves in societies, and send petitions up to their different legislatures, entreating their husbands, fathers, brothers and sors, to abolish the institution of slavery; no longer to subject woman to the scourge and the chain, to mental darkness and moral degradation; no longer to tear husbands from their wives, and children from their parents; no longer to make men, women, and children, work without wages; no longer to make their lives bitter in hard bondage; no longer to reduce American citisens to the abject condition of sloves, of "chattels personal;" no longer to barter the image of God in human shambles for corruptible things

such as silver and gold.

The somen of the South can overthrow this horrible system of oppression and cruelty, licentiousness and wrong. Such appeals to your legislatures would be irresistible, for there is something in the beart of man which will bend under moral sussion. There is a swift witness for truth in his bosom, which will respond to truth when it is attered with calmness and dignity. If you could obtain but six signatures to such a petition in only one state, I would say, send up that petition, and be not in the least discouraged by the scoffs and jeers of the heartless, or the resolution of the house to lay it on the table. It will be a great thing if the subject can be introduced into your legislatures in any way, even by women, and they will be the most likely to introduce it there in the best possible manner, as a matter of morals and religion, not of expediency or politics. You may petition, too, the different ecclesiastical bodies of the slave states. Slavery must be attacked with the whole power of truth and the sword of the spirit. You must take it up on Christian ground, and fight against it with Christian weapons, whilst your feet are shod with the preparation of the gospel of peace. And you are now loudly called upon by the cries of the widow and the orphan, to arise and gird yourselves for this great moral conflict, with the whole armour

of righteousness on the right hand and on the left."

There is every encouragement for you to labor and pray, my friends, because the abolition of slavery as well as its existence, has been the theme of prophecy. "Ethiopia (says the Psalmist) shall stretch forth her hands unto God." And is she not now doing so? Are not the Christian negroes of the south lifting their hands in prayer for deliverance, just as the Israelites did when their redemption was drawing nigh? Are they not sighing and crying by reason of the hard bondage? And think you, that He, of whom it was said, "and God heard their groaning, and their cry came up unto him by reason of the hard bondage," think you that his ear is heavy that he cannot now hear the cries of his suffering children? Or that He who raised up a Moses, an Aaron, and a Miriam, to bring them up out of the land of Egypt from the house of bondage, cannot now, with a high hand and a stretched out arm, rid the poor negroes out of the hands of their masters? Surely you believe that his arm is not shortened that he cannot save. And would not such a work of mercy redound to his glory? But another string of the harp of prophecy vibrates to the song of deliverance: "But they shall sit every man under his vine, and under his fig-tree, and none shall make them afraid; for the mouth of the Lord of Hosts hath spoken it." The slave never can do this as long as he is a slave; whilst he is a "chattel personal" he can own no property; but the time is to come when every man is to sit under his own vine and his own fig-tree, and no domineering driver, or irresponsible master, or irascible mistress, shall make him afraid If the chain or the whip. Hear, too, the sweet tones of another string: "Many shell run to r.d fro, and imessledge shell be increased." Slavery is an insurmountable barrier to the increase of knowledge in every community where it exists; sleeway, thus, must be ab. lished before this prediction can be fulfilled. The last chord I shall touch, will be this, "They shell not hurt nor destroy in all my hely mountain."

Slavers, then, must be overthrown before the prophecies can be accomplished, but how are they to be fulfiled? Will the wheels of the millennish car be rolled onward by miraculous power? No! God designs to confer this holy privilege upon woman; it is through their instrumentality that the great and glorious work of reforming the world is to be done. And see you not how the mighty engine of moral power is dragging in its rear the Bible and peace societies, anti-slavery and temperance, sabbath schools, moral reform, and missions? or to adopt another figure, do not these seven philanthropic associations compose the beautiful tints in that bow of promise which spans the arch of our moral heaven! Who does not believe, that if these societies were broken up, their constitutions burnt, and the vast machinery with which they are laboring to regenerate mankind was stopped, that the black clouds of vengeance would so .. burst over our world, and every city would witness the fate of the devoted cities of the plain? Each one of these societies is walking abroad through the earth scattering the seeds of truth over the wide field of ocworld, not with the hundred hands of a Briareus, but with a hundred

Another encouragement for you to labor, my friends, is, that you will have the prayers and co-operation of English and Northern philanthropists. You will never bend your knees in supplication at the throne of grace for the overthrow of slavery, without meeting there the spirits of other Christians, who will mingle their voices with yours, as the morning or evening sacrifice ascends to God. Yes, the spirit of prayer and of supplication has been poured out upon many, many hearts; there are wrestling Jacobs who will not let go of the prophetic promises of deliverance for the captive, and the opening of prison doors to them that are bound. There are Pauls who are saying, in reference to this subject, "Lord, what wilt thou have me to do?" There are Marys sitting in the house now, who are ready to arise and go forth in this work as soon as the message is brought, "the master is come and calleth for thee." And there are Marthas, too, who have already gone out to meet Jesus, as he bends his footsteps to their brother's grave, and weeps, not over the lifeless body of Lazarus bound hand and foot in grave-clothes, but over the politically and intellectually lifeless slave, bound hand and foot in the iron chains of oppression and Some may be ready to say, as Martha did, who seemed to expect nothing but sympathy from Jesus, "Lord, by this time he stinketh, for he hath been dead four days." She thought it useless to remove the stone and expose the loathsome body of her brother; she could not believe that so great a miracle could be wrought, as to raise that putrefied body into life; but "Jesus said, take ye away toe

stone it and when they had taken away the stone where the dead was laid, and uncovered the body of Lazarus, then it was that "Jesus lifted up his eyes and said. Father, I thank thee that thou hast heard me," &c. "And when he had thus spoken, he cried with a loud voice. Lazarus, come forth," Yes, some may be ready to say of the colored race, how can they ever be raised politically and intellectually, they have been dead four hundred years? But we have nothing to do with how this is to be done; our business is to take away the stone which has covered up the dead body of our brother, to expose the putrid carcass, to show how that body has been bound with the grave-clothes of heathen ignorance, and his face with the napkin of prejudice, and having done all it was our duty to do, to stand by the negro's grave, in humble faith and holy hope, waiting to hear the life-giving command of "Lazarus, come forth." This is just what Anti-Slavery Societies are doing; they are taking away the stone from the mouth of the tomb of slavery, where lies the putrid . They want the pure light of heaven to shine carcass of our brother. into that dark and gloomy cave; they want all men to see how that dead body has been bound, how that face has been wrapped in the napkin of prejudice; and shall they wait beside that grave in vain? Is not Jesus still the resurrection and the life? Did He come to proclaim lil erty to the captive, and the opening of prison doors to them that are bound, in vain? Did He promise to give beauty for ashes, the oil of joy for mourning, and the garment of praise for the spirit of heaviness unto them that mourn in Zion, and will He refuse to beautify the mind, anoint the head, and throw around the captive negro the mantle of praise for that spirit of heaviness which has so long bowed him down to the ground? Or shall we not rather say with the prophet, "the zeal of the Lord of Hosts will perform this?" Yes, his promises are sure, and amen in Christ Jesus, that he will assemble her that halteth, and gather her that is driven out, and her that is afflicted.

But I will now say a few words on the subject of Abolitionism. Doubtless you have all heard Anti-Slavery Societies denounced as insurrectionary and mischievous, fanatical and dangerous. It has been said they publish the most abominable untruths, and that they are endeavoring to excite rebellions at the South. Have you believed these reports, my friends? have you also been deceived by these false assertions? Listen to me, then, whilst I endeavor to wipe from the fair character of Abolitionism such unfounded accusations. You know that I am a Southerner; you know that my dearest relatives are now in a slave State. Can you for a moment believe I would prove so recreant to the feelings of a daughter and a sister, as to join a society which was seeking to overthrow slavery by falsehood, bloodshed, and murder? I appeal to you who have known and loved me in days that are passed, can you believe it? No! my friends. As a Carolinian, I was peculiarly jealous of any movements on this subject; and before I would join an Anti-Slavery Society, I took the precau-

tion of becoming acquainted with some of the leading Abolitionists. of reading their publications and attending their meetings, at which I heard addresses both from colored and white men; and it was not until I was fully convinced that their principles were entirely pacific, and their efforts only moral, that I gave my name as a member to the Female Anti-Slavery Society of Philadelphia. Since that time, I have regularly taken the Liberator, and read many Anti-Slavery pamphlets and papers and books, and can assure you I never have seen a single insurrectionary paragraph, and never read any account of cruelly which I could not believe. Southerners may deny the truth of these accounts, but why do they not prove them to be false. Their violent expressions of horror at such accounts being believed, may decive some, but they cannot deceive me, for I lived too long in the midst of slavery, not to know what slavery is. Such declarations remind me of an assertion made by a Catholic priest, who said that his Church had never persecuted Protestants for their religion, when it is well known that the pages of history are black with the crimes of the Inquisition. Oh! if the slaves of the South could only write a book, it would vie, I have no doubt, with the horrible details of Catholic When I speak of this system, "I speak that I do know," and I am not afraid to assert, that Anti-Slavery publications have not overdrawn the monstrous features of slavery at all. And many a Southerner knows this as well as I do. A lady in North Carolina remarked to a friend of mine, about eighteen months since, " Northerners know nothing at all about slavery; they think it is perpetual bondage only; but of the depth of degradation that word involves, they have no conception; if they had, they would never cease their efforts until so horrible a system was overthrown." She did not know how faithfully some Northern men and Northern women had studied this subject : how diligently they had searched out the cause of "him who had none to help him," and how fearlessly they had told the story of the negro's Yes, Northerners know every thing about slavery now. This monster of iniquity has been unveiled to the world, his frightful features unmasked, and soon, very soon, will he be regarded with no more complacency by the American republic than is the idol of Juggernaut, rolling its bloody wheels over the crushed bodies of its prostrate victims.

But you will probably ask, if Anti-Slavery societies are not insurrectionary, why do Northerners tell us they are! Why, I would ask you in return, did Northern senators and Northern representatives give their votes, at the last sitting of congress, to the admission of Arkansas Territory as a slave state! Take those men, one by one, and ask them in their parlours, do you approve of slavery I ask them on Northern ground, where they will speak the truth, and I doubt not every man of them will tell you, no! Why then, I ask, did they give their votes to enlarge the mouth of that grave which has already destroyed its tens of thousands? All our enemies tell us they are as much anti-slavery as we are. Yes, my friends, thousands who are helping you to bind the fetters of slavery on the negre, despise you in their hearts for doing it; they rejoice that such an institution has not been entailed upon them. Why then, I would ask, do they lend you their help! I will tell you, "they love the praise of men more than the praise of God." The Abolition cause has not yet become so popular as to induce them to believe, that by advocating it in congress, they shall sit still more securely in their seats there, and like

the chief rules in the days of our Saviour, though many believed on him, yet they did not confess him, lest they should be put out of the symagogue; John xii, 48, 48. Or perhaps like Pilate, thinking they could prevail nothing, and fearing a tumult, they determined to release Barabbas and surrender the just man, the poor innocent slave to be stripped of his rights and scourged. In vain will such men try to wash their hands, and say, with the Roman governor, "I am innocent of the blood of this just person." Northern American statesmen are no more innocent of the crime of slavery, than Pilate was of the murder of Jesus, or Saul of that of Stephen. These are high charges, but I appeal to their hearts; I appeal to public opinion ten yoars from now. Stavery then is a national sin.

But you will say, a great many other Northerners tell us so, who can have no political motives. The interests of the North, you must know, my friends, are very closely combined with those of the South. The Northern merchants and manufacturers are making their fortunes out of the produce of slave labor; the grocer is selling your rice and sugar: how then can these men bear a testimony against slavery without condemning themselves? But there is another reason, the North is most dreadfully afraid of Amalgamation. She is alarmed at the very idea of a thing so monstrous, as she thinks. this consequence might flow from emancipation, she is determined to resist all efforts at emancipation without expatriation. It is not because she approves of slavery, or believes it to be "the corner stone of our republic," for she is as much anti-slavery as we are; but amalgamation is too horrible to think of. Now I would ask you, is it right, is it generous, to refuse the colored people in this country the advantages of education and the privilege, or rather the right, to follow honest trades and callings merely because they are colored? The same prejudice exists here against our colored brethren that existed against the Gentiles in Judea. Great numbers cannot bear the idea of equality, and fearing lest, if they had the same advantages we enjoy, they would become as intelligent, as moral, as religious, and as respectable and wealthy, they are determined to keep them as low as they possibly can. Is this doing as they would be done by? Is this loving their neighbor as themselves? Oh! that such opposers of Abolitionism would put their souls in the stead of the free colored man's and obey the apostolic injunction, to " remember them that are in bonds as bound with them." I will leave you to judge whether the fear of amalgamation ought to induce men to oppose anti-slavery efforts, when they believe slavery to be sinful. Prejudice against color, is the most powerful enemy we have to fight with at the North.

You need not be surprised, then, at all, at what is said against Abolitionists by the North, for they are wielding a two-edged sword, which even here, cuts through the cords of caste, on the one side, and the bonds of interest on the other. They are only sharing the fate of other reformers, abused and reviled whilst they are in the minority; but they are neither angry nor discouraged by the invective which has been heaped upon them by slaveholders at the South and

their apologists at the North. They know that when George Fox and William Edmundson were laboring in behalf of the negroes in the West Indies in 1671 that the very same slanders were propogated against them, which are now circulated against Abolitionists. though it was well known that Fox was the founder of a religious sect which repudiated all war, and all violence, yet even he was accused of "endeavoring to excite the slaves to insurrection and of teaching the negroes to cut their master's throats." And these two men who had their feet shod with the preparation of the Gospel of Peace, were actually compelled to draw up a formal declaration that they were not trying to raise a rebellion in Barbadoes. It is also worthy of remark that these Reformers did not at this time see the necessity of emancipation under seven years, and their principal efforts were exerted to persuade the planters of the necessity of instructing their slaves; but the slaveholder saw then, just what the slaveholde; sees now, that an enlightened population never can be a slave population, and therefore they passed a law that negroes should not even attend the meetings of Friends. Abolitionists know that the life of Clarkson was sought by slavetraders, and that even Wilberforce was denounced on the floor of Parliament as a fanatic and e hypocrite by the present King of England, the very man who, in 1834 set his seal to that instrument which burst the fetters of eight hundred thousand slaves in his West India colonies. They know that the first Quaker who bore a faithful testimony against the sin of slavery was cut off from religious fellowship with that society. That Quaker was a woman. On her deathbed she sent for the committe who dealt with her-she told them, the near approach of death had not altered her sentiments on the subject of slavery and waving her hand towards a very fertile and beautiful portion of country which lay stretched before her window, she said with great solemnity, "Friends, the time will come when there will not be friends enough in all this district to hold one meeting for worship, and this garden will be turned into a wilderness."

The aged friend, who with tears in his eyes, related this interesting circumstance to me, remarked, that at that time there were seven meetings of friends in that part of Virginia, but that when he was there ten years ago, not a single meeting was held, and the country was literally a desolation. Soon after her decease, John Woolman began his labors in our society, and instead of disowning a member for testifying against slavery, they have forsixty-two years positively forbidden their members to hold slaves.

Abolitionists understand the slaveholding spirit too well to be surprised at any thing that has yet happened at the South or the North; they know that the greater the sin is, which is exposed, the more violent will be the efforts to blacken the character and impugn the motives of those who are engaged in bringing to light the hidden things of darkness. They understand the work of Reform too well to be driven back by the furious waves of opposition, which are only foaming out their own shame. They have stood "the world's dreed

laugh," whon only twelve men formed the first Anti-Slavery Society in Boston in 1831. They have faced and refuted the calumnies of their enemies, and proved themselves to be emphatically peace men by never resisting the violence of mobs, even when driven by them from the temple of God, and dragged by an infuriated crowd through the streets of the emporium of New-England, or subjected by claveholders to the pain of corporal punishment. "None of these things move them ;" and, by the grace of God, they are determined to persevere in this work of faith and labor of love: they mean to pray, and preach, and write, and print, until slavery is completely overthrown, until Babylon is taken up and cast into the sea, to "be found no more at all." They mean to petition Congress year after year, until the seat of our government is cleansed from the sinful traffic of "slaves and the souls of men." Although that august assembly may be like the unjust judge who "for ed not God neither regarded man," yet it must yield just as he die wa the power of importunity. Like the unjust judge, Congress is sedrous the wrongs of the widow, lest by the continual coming up of petitions, it be wearied. This will be striking the dagger into the very heart of the mouster, and once thisdone, he must soon expire.

Abolitionists have been accused of abusing their Southern brethren. Did the prophet Isaiah abuse the Jows when he addressed to them the cutting reproofs contained in the first chapter of his prophecies, and ended by telling them, they would be ashamed of the oaks they had closived, and confounded for the garden they had chosen? Did John the Baptist abuse the Jews when he called them "a generation of vipers," and warned them "to bring forth fruits meet for repentance ?" Did Peter — the Jews when he called them "a generation mane ?" Did Peter — the Jews when he told them they were the murdern of the Le they? Did Paul abuse the Roman Governor when he rear — fore him of rightconsenses, tumperance, and judgment, so as — deconviction home to his guilty heart, and eause him to tremble in view of the crimes he was living in? Surely not. No man will now accuse the prophets and apostles of abuse, but what have Abolitionists done more than they? No doubt the Jowa thought the prophets and apostles in their day, just as harsh and ancharitable as slaveholders now, think Abolitionists; if they

did act, why did they beat, and stone, and kill them? Great fault has been found with the prints which have been employed to expose slavery at the North, but my friends, how could this be done so effectually in any other way? Until the pictures of the clear's medicings were drawn and lield up to public gaze, no Mortherner had any idea of the cruelty of the system, it never entered their minds that such abominations could exist in Christian, Republican America; they never suspected that many of the gentlemen and ladies who came from the South to spend the summer months in travelling meng them, were petty tyrants at home. In does who had lived the South, and came to reside at the start of the summer to captain the language of their hearts was, "tell it did in Carlot, publish it not in the strong of Askelon;" they saw no

use in uncovering the loathsome body to popular sight, and in hope-less despair, wept in secret places over the sins of oppression. To such hidden mourners the formation of Anti-Slavery Societies was as life from the dead, the first beams of hope which gleamed through the dark clouds of despendency and griet. Prints were made use of to effect the abolition of the laquisation in Spain, and Clarkson employed them when he was laboring to break up the Slave trade, and English Abolitionists used them just as we are now doing. They are powerful appeals and have invariably done the work they were designed to do, and we cannot consent to abandon the use of these until the readities no longer exist.

With regard to those white men, who, it was said, did try to raise an insurrection in Mississippi a year ago, and who were stated to be Abolitionists, none of them were proved to be members of Anti-Slavery Societies, and it must remain a matter of great doubt whether, even they were guilty of the crimes alledged against them, because when any community is thrown into such a panic as to inflict Lynch law upon accused persons, they cannot be supposed to be capable of judging with culmness and impartiality. We know that the papers of which are Charleston mail was robbed, were not insurrectionary, and that they were not sent to the colored people as was reported. know that Amos Dresser was no insurrectionist though he was accused of being so, and on this false accusation was publicly whipped in Nashville in the midst of a crowd of infuriated slaveholders. Was that young man disgraced by this infliction of corporal punishment? No more than was the great apostle of the Gentiles who five times received forty stripes, save one. Like him, he might have said, henceforth I bear in my body the marks of the Lord Jesus," for it was for the truth's sake, he suffered, as much as did the Apostlo Are Nelson, and Garrett, and Williams, and other Abolitionists who have recently been banished from Missouri, insurrectionists? We know they are not, whatever slaveholders may choose to call them. The spirit which now aspends the character of the Abolitionists, is the corn come which dressed he Christians of Spain in the skins of will beasts and pictures of devis when they were led to execution as hereties. Before we condemn individuals, it is necessary, even in a wicked community, to accuse them of some crime; hence, when Jezebel wished to compass the death of Naboth, men of Belial were suborned to beer raise witness against him, and so it was with Stephen, and so it ever has been, and ever will be, as long as there is any virtue to suffer on the rack, or the gallows. False witnesses must appear against Applitionists before they can be condemned.

It will now say a few words on George Thompson's mission to this country. This Philanthropist was accosed of being a foreign emissary. Were Lafayette, and Steuben, and De Kalh, and Pulawski, foreign emissaries when they came ever to America to fight against the tones, who preferred submitting to what was termed, "he yolke of servitude," rather than bursting the fetters which bound them to the cooling country? They came with search scapers to engage in bloody

conflict against American citizens, and yet, where do their names stand on the page of History. Among the honorable, or the base? Thompson came here to war against the giant sin of slavery, not with the sword and the pistol, but with the smooth stones of oratory taken from the pure waters of the river of Truth. His splendid talents and commanding elequence rendered him a powerful condittor in the Anti-Slavery cause, and in order to neutralize the effects of these upoh his auditors, and rob the poor slave of the benefits of his labors, his haracter was defemed, his life was sought, and he at last driven from our Republic, as a fugitive. But was Thompson diagraced by all this mean and contemptible and wicked chicanery and malice? No more than was Paul, when in consequence of a vision he had seen at Tross, he went over to Macedonia to help the Christians there, and was beaten and imprisoned, because he cast out a spirit of divination from a young damsel which had brought much gain to her masters. Paul was as much a foreign emissary in the Roman colony of Philippi, as George Thompson was in America, and it was because he was a Jew. and taught customs it was not lawful for them to receive or ob-

serve, being Romans, that the Apostle was thus treated.

It was said. Thompson was a felop, who had fled to this country to escape transportation to New Holland. Look at him now pouring the thundering strains of his eloquence, upon crowded audiences in Great Britain, and see in this a triumphant vindication of his charac-And have the slaveholder, and his obsequious apologist, gained any thing by all their violence and falsehood? No! for the stone which struck Goliath of Gath, had already been thrown from the sling. The giant of slavery who had so proudly defied the armies of the living God, had received his death-blow before he left our shores. But what is George Thompson doing there? Is he not now laboring there, as effectually to abolish American slavery as though he trod our own soil, and lectured to New York or Beston assemblies? What is he doing there, but constructing a stupendous dam, which will turn the overwhelming tide of public opinion over the wheels of that machinery which Abolitionists are working here. He is now lecturing to Britons on American Slavery, to the subjects of a King, on the abject condition of the slaves of a Republic. He is telling them of that mighty confederacy of petty tyrants which extends over thirteen States of our Union. He is telling them of the munificent rewards offered by slaveholders, for the heads of the most distinguished advocates for freedom in this country. He is moving the British Churches to send out to the churches of America the most solemn appeals, reproving, rebuking, and exhorting them with all long suffering and patience to abandon the sin of slavery immediately. Where then I ask, will the name of George Thompson stand on the page of History? Among the honorable, or the base?

What can I say more, my friends, to induce yes to set your hands, and heads, and hearts, to this great work of justice and mercy. Perhaps you have feared the consequences of immediate Emancipation, and been frightened by all those dreadful prophecies of rebellion, bloodshed and murder, which have been uttered. "Let no man deceive you;" they are the predictions of that same "tying spirit" which spoke through the four hundred prophets of old, to Aha king of. Israel, urging him on to destruction. Slavery may produce those horrible scenes if it is continued five years longer, but Emancipation never will.

I can prove the sofety of immediate Emancipation by history. In St. Domingo in 1793 six hundred thousand slaves were set free in a white population of forty-two thousand. That Island "marched as by enchantment towards its ancient splendor, cultivation prospered, every day produced perceptible proofs of its progress, and the negroes all continued quietly to work on the different plantations, until in 1802, France determined to reduce these liberated slaves again to bondage. It was at this time that all those dreadful scenes of cruetly occurred, which we so often unjustly hear spoken of, as the effects of Abolition. They were occasioned not by Emancipation, but by the base attempt to fasten the chains of slavery on the limbs of liberated slaves.

In Gaudaloupe eighty-five thousand slaves were freed in a white population of thirteen thousand. The same prosperous effects, followed manumission here, that had attended it in Hayti, every thing was quiet until Buonaparte sent out a fleet to reduce these negroes. again to slavery, and in 1802 this institution was re-established in that Island. In 1834, when Great Britain determined to liberate the slaves in her West India colonies, and proposed the apprenticeship system: the planters of Bermuda and Antigua, after having joined the other planters in their representations of the bloody consequences of Emancipation, in order if possible to hold back the hand which was offering the boon of freedom to the poor negro: as soon as they found such falsehoods were utterly disregarded, and Abolition must take place, came forward voluntarily, and asked for the compensation which was due to them, saying, they preferred immediate emancipation, and were not afraid of any insurrection. And how is it with these slands now? They are decidedly more prosperous than any of those in which the apprenticeship system was adopted, and England is now rying to abolish that system, so fully convinced is she that immediate Emancipation is the safest and the best plan.

And why not try it in the Southern States, if it never has occasioned rebellion; if not a drop of blood has ever been shed in consequence of it, though it has been so often tried, why should we suppose it would produce such disastrous consequences now? "Be not decived then, God is not mocked," by such false excuses for not doing justly and loving mercy. There is nothing to fear from immediate. Emancipation, but every thing from the continuance of slavery.

Sisters in Christ, I have done. As a Southerner, I have felt it was my duty to address you. I have endeavoured to set before you the exceeding sinfulness of slavery, and to point you to the example of those noble women who have been raised up in the church to effect great revolutions, and to suffer for the truth's sake. I have appealed

to your sympathies as women, to your sense of duty as Christian process. I have attempted to vindicate the Abolitionists, to prove the entire safety of immediate Emancipation, and to plead the cause of the poor and oppressed. I have dence—I have sowed the seeds of truth, but I well know, that even if an Apolies were to follow in my steps to water them, "God only can give the increase." To Him then who is able to prosper the work of his servant's hand, I commend this Appeal in fervent proyer, that as he "hath chosen the weak things of the world, to confound the things which are mighty," so He may cause His blessing, to descend and carry conviction to the hearts of many Lydias through these specified pages. Farewell—Count me not your "enemy because I he told you the truth," but believe me in unfeigned affection,

Your sympathizing Friend, ANGELINA E. GRIMKE.

Shrewsbury, N. J., 1936.

THIRD EDITION.

Price 6 1-4 cents single. 69 1-2 cents per dozen. \$4 per hundred.